Following corrections in *italics* have been carried out in Swachh Survekshan-2018 Tool Kit – attached.

**CORRECTIONS**

- **Page 1**: In a bid to scale up the coverage of the ranking exercise and encourage towns and cities to actively implement mission initiatives in a timely and innovative manner, MoUD now proposes to conduct its third survey to rank all 4041 cities under Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban (SBM-U).
  
  **Corrected as**: In a bid to scale up the coverage of the ranking exercise and encourage towns and cities to actively implement mission initiatives in a timely and innovative manner, MoHUA now proposes to conduct its third survey to rank all 4041 cities based on assessment of progress from January 2017 till December 2017 under Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban (SBM-U).

- **Page 2**: The survey indicators/questionnaire will carry total 2000 marks.
  
  **Corrected as**: The survey indicators/questionnaire will carry total 4000 marks.

- **Page 50**: City Program Implementation Unit (PIU) established - with at least MIS and M&E Experts
  
  **Corrected as**: City Program Implementation Unit (PIU) established - with at least IEC & MIS experts

- **Page 58**: If two or more RWAs/Owners fail to do so, then the ULB will receive -9 score for this Indicator.
  
  **Corrected as**: If two or more RWAs/Owners fail to do so, then the ULB will receive -16 score for this Indicator.

- **Page 64**: If 2 or more drivers does not acknowledges the appreciation/recognition from the ULB then the ULB will receive -13 score for this indicator.
  
  **Corrected as**: If 2 or more drivers does not acknowledges the appreciation/recognition from the ULB then the ULB will receive -9 score for this indicator.

- **Page 65**: If 2 or more locations do not have twin bins at every 500m, then the ULB will receive -13 score for this indicator.
  
  **Corrected as**: If 2 or more locations do not have twin bins at every 500m, then the ULB will receive -9 score for this indicator.

- **Page 70**: If any discrepancies are found (against what claimed by the ULB), then the ULB will receive -10 score for this indicator
  
  **Corrected as**: If any discrepancies are found (against what claimed by the ULB), then the ULB will receive -20 score for this indicator.
• Page 72: If the value that any unit declares is less than the ULB reported value, then the ULB will receive -16 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If the value that any unit declares is less than the ULB reported value, then the ULB will receive **-17 score** for this indicator.

• Page 73: If the value any unit declares is less than the ULB reported value, then the ULB will receive -16 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If the value any unit declares is less than the ULB reported value, then the ULB will receive **-17 score** for this indicator.

• Page 75: If any discrepancies are found, then the ULB will receive -7 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If any discrepancies are found, then the ULB will receive **-13 score** for this indicator.

• Page 78: If one or more selected ward found OD, then the ULB will receive -40 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If one or more selected ward found OD, then the ULB will receive **-36 score** for this indicator.

• Page 79: If no such revenue generating activities found out in one or more CT/PT, then the ULB will receive -17 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If no such revenue generating activities found out in one or more CT/PT, then the ULB will receive **-18 score** for this indicator.

• Page 80: If one or more operators are unable to answer either, then the ULB will receive -5 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If one or more operators are unable to answer either, then the ULB will receive **-14 score** for this indicator.

• Page 81: If plant is not operational, then the ULB will receive -5 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If plant is not operational, then the ULB will receive **-14 score** for this indicator.

• Page 82: If one or more selected toilets are not constructed, then the ULB will receive -12 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If one or more selected toilets are not constructed, then the ULB will receive **-11 score** for this indicator.

• Page 83: If no water connection is present in two or more IHHLs, then the ULB will receive -12 score for this indicator.  
**Corrected as:** If no water connection is present in two or more IHHLs, then the ULB will receive **-11 score** for this indicator.
• Page 84: If one or more selected applications are not found on the portal, then the
ULB will receive -5 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If one or more selected applications are not found on the portal, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-7 score} for this indicator.

• Page 85: If one or more selected applications are not found on the portal, then the
ULB will receive -5 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If one or more selected applications are not found on the portal, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-7 score} for this indicator.

• Page 86: If two or more CT is found to be under construction or not available, then
the ULB will receive -5 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If two or more CT is found to be under construction or not available, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-7 score} for this indicator.

• Page 87: If more than two PTs are found to be under construction or not available, then
the ULB will receive -5 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If more than two PTs are found to be under construction or not available, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-7 score} for this indicator.

• Page 88: If one or more fuel pump is not notifying citizens, then the ULB will receive
-4 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If one or more fuel pump is not notifying citizens, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-6 score} for this indicator.

• Page 89: If there is no evidence in two or more locations, then the ULB will receive
-13 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If there is no evidence in two or more locations, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-7 score} for this indicator.

• Page 90: If two or more do not then the ULB will receive -11 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If two or more do not then the ULB will receive \textbf{-5 score} for this indicator.

• Page 91: If there is no evidence in 2 or more locations, then the ULB will receive -7 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If there is no evidence in 2 or more locations, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-4 score} for this indicator.

• Page 92: If one or more ambassadors provide answers different than ULB documentation, then the ULB will receive -4 score for this indicator.
Corrected as: If one or more ambassadors provide answers different than ULB documentation, then the ULB will receive \textbf{-2 score} for this indicator.
• **Page 93:** If one or more participant says ‘No’, then the ULB will receive -4 score for this Indicator.
  
  **Corrected as:** If one or more participant says ‘No’, then the ULB will receive -2 score for this indicator.

• **Page 94:** If there is mismatch from what has been claimed by the ULB by two or more Committees, then the ULB will receive -4 score for this indicator.
  
  **Corrected as:** If there is mismatch from what has been claimed by the ULB by two or more Committees, then the ULB will receive -4 score for this indicator.

• **Page 95:** If one or more answers ‘No’, then the ULB will receive -3 score for this Indicator.
  
  **Corrected as:** If one or more answers ‘No’, then the ULB will receive -2 score for this indicator.

• **Page 96:** If any employee is unable to answer, then the ULB will receive -1 score for this indicator.
  
  **Corrected as:** If any employee is unable to answer, then the ULB will receive -10 score for this indicator.

**Additional Note: ‘Means of Verification’**

*Please note that list of supporting documents/information listed under ‘Means of Verification’ is only indicative. Documentary evidence(s) that may support the claim/progress can be shared/asked for the verification at the time of assessment.*